Estimation of the noncentrality matrix of a noncentral Wishart distribution with unit scale matrix. A matrix generalization of leung's domination result

Heinz Neudecker*

Cesaro

Abstract

The main aim is to estimate the noncentrality matrix of a noncentral Wishart distribution. The method used is Leung's but generalized to a *matrix* loss function. Parallelly Leung's scalar noncentral Wishart identity is generalized to become a matrix identity. The concept of Löwner partial ordering of symmetric matrices is used.

MSC: 62H12, 15A24, 15A45

Keywords: Noncentral Wishart matrix identity, noncentrality matrix, decision-theoretic estimation, matrix loss function, Löwner matrix ordering, Haffians

1 Introduction

We consider $S \sim W_m(n, I_m, M'M)$. Following Leung (1994) we recall that the habitual unbiased estimator of M'M is $T := S - n I_m$. Under certain conditions $T_\alpha := T + \alpha(\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} I_m$ dominates T for a suitable choice of α , as was shown by Leung, who used the loss function

$$\lambda \left[(M'M)^{-1}, R \right] := \operatorname{tr} \left\{ (M'M)^{-1} R - I_m \right\}^2.$$

He extended work by Perlman & Rasmussen (1975), Saxena & Alam (1982), Chow (1987) and Leung & Muirhead (1987).

^{*} Address for correspondence: Oosterstraat, 13. 1741 GH Schagen. The Netherlands. Postal address: NL 1741 GH 13. E-mail: heinz@fee.uva.nl

Received: May 2001

Accepted: October 2003

In this article we propose to use a *matrix* loss function, *viz* $L[(M'M)^{-1}, R] := \{(M'M)^{-1}R - I_m\}'\{(M'M)^{-1}R - I_m\}$ and apply the concept of Löwner partial ordering of symmetric matrices. We shall show that Leung's result still holds approximately, the error term being of order $o(n^{-1})$. For accomplishing this we need a matrix version of Leung's Identity for the noncentral Wishart distribution. This will be presented first.

A matrix version of an ancillary lemma by Leung, *viz* his Lemma 3.1 will next be established. The generalized domination result will then follow straightforwardly.

We shall employ an approximation of $E(\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1}S$, where E is the expectation operator. A lemma on the matrix Haffian $\nabla \varphi F$, where φ and F are scalar and matrix functions of S, will be proved in Appendix 1. In Appendix 2 we shall prove a lemma on the scalar Haffian $\operatorname{tr} \nabla F_2 A F_1$, when F_1 and F_2 are matrix functions of S and A is a constant matrix.

2 A matrix version of Leung's identity for the noncentral Wishart distribution

We quote Leung's Theorem 2.1, where without loss of generality we take h = 1, h being a scalar function of *S* in Leung's work:

$$E \operatorname{tr} \Sigma^{-1} F = 2E \operatorname{tr} \nabla F + (n - m - 1)E \operatorname{tr} S^{-1} F + E_1 \operatorname{tr} \Sigma^{-1} M' M S^{-1} F,$$
(1)

where $S \sim W_m(n, \Sigma, \Sigma^{-1}M'M)$, *E* denotes the expectation with respect to this distribution, E_1 denotes the expectation with respect to the distribution $W_m(n+m+1, \Sigma, \Sigma^{-1}M'M)$, F = F(S) and n > m + 1. The matrices S, Σ, F and ∇ are square of dimension *m*, whereas *M* has dimension $n \times m$. It is assumed that *M* has full column rank. Further ∇F is the matrix Haffian as denoted by Neudecker (2000*b*). Inspired by Haff (1981), who did it for the central Wishart distribution, we shall establish a matrix version of (1).

Theorem 1

$$EF_{1}\Sigma^{-1}F_{2} = 2EF_{1}\nabla F_{2} + 2\left(EF_{2}'\nabla F_{1}'\right)' +$$

$$+ (n - m - 1)EF_{1}S^{-1}F_{2} + E_{1}F_{1}\Sigma^{-1}M'MS^{-1}F_{2},$$
(2)

for F_1 and F_2 satisfying the conditions of Lemma 5.

Proof. Take $F = F_2 e_j e'_i F_1$, with unit vectors e_i and e_j . We then use the identity:

$$\operatorname{tr} \nabla F_2 A F_1 = \operatorname{tr} (\nabla F_2) A F_1 + \operatorname{tr} (\nabla F_1') A' F_2',$$

with constant A. For a proof see Lemma 5.

Taking $A = e_j e'_i$ we get

$$E \operatorname{tr} \Sigma^{-1} F_2 e_j e'_i F_1 = 2E \operatorname{tr} (\nabla F_2) e_j e'_i F_1 + 2E \operatorname{tr} (\nabla F'_1) e_i e'_j F'_2 + (n - m - 1) \operatorname{tr} ES^{-1} F_2 e_j e'_i F_1 + E_1 \operatorname{tr} \Sigma^{-1} M' M S^{-1} F_2 e_j e'_i F_1$$

or equivalently

$$(EF_1 \Sigma^{-1} F_2)_{ij} = 2 (EF_1 \nabla F_2)_{ij} + 2 (EF'_2 \nabla F'_1)_{ji} + + (n - m - 1) (EF_1 S^{-1} F_2)_{ij} + (E_1 F_1 \Sigma^{-1} M' M S^{-1} F_2)_{ij}.$$

Note: It was assumed that (1) holds for all $F = F_2 e_j e'_i F_1$, which puts stronger conditions on the input matrix than was necessary for (1). By choosing $F_1 = I_m$ and taking traces we derive (1) from (2).

For discussion of the central Wishart case we refer to Haff (1981).

3 A matrix version of Leung's lemma 3.1

Lemma 2

$$E (tr S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1} S (M'M)^{-1} < nE (tr S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-2} - -2(n-4)E (tr S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-2} + E_1 (tr S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1} - -2E_1 (tr S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-1},$$

where $S \sim W_m(n, I_m, M'M)$ and M'M is assumed to be nonsingular. The inequality A < B, for symmetric A and B, stands for the Löwner ordering meaning that B - A is positive definite.

Proof. Take $F_1 = (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1}$ and $F_2 = S (M'M)^{-1}$. By Theorem 1 (with $\Sigma = I_m$):

$$E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1} S (M'M)^{-1} = 2E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1} \nabla S (M'M)^{-1} + + 2 \left\{ E (M'M)^{-1} S \nabla (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1} \right\}' + + (n - m - 1)E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-2} + E_1 (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1} = = (m + 1)E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-2} - 2E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-1} S (M'M)^{-1} + + (n - m - 1)E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-2} + E_1 (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1} =$$

$$= nE (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-2} - 2E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-1} S (M'M)^{-1} + E_1 (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1}$$
(*i*)

Further

$$E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-1} S (M'M)^{-1} = 2E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-1} \nabla S (M'M)^{-1} + 2 \left\{ E (M'M)^{-1} S \nabla (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-1} \right\}' + (n - m - 1)E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-2} + E_1 (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-1},$$

where we applied Theorem 1 (with $\Sigma = I_m$) using $F_1 = (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-1}$ and $F_2 = S (M'M)^{-1}$. Proceeding as before we get

$$E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-1} S (M'M)^{-1} = (m+1)E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-2} - -4E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-3} (M'M)^{-1} S (M'M)^{-1} + (n-m-1)E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-2} + +E_1 (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-1} = nE (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-2} - -4E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-3} (M'M)^{-1} S (M'M)^{-1} + E_1 (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-1}.$$

We use the Löwner ordering $S < (tr S)I_m$, which yields $(M'M)^{-1} S (M'M)^{-1} < (tr S) (M'M)^{-2}$. Hence we get

$$(n-4)E(\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2}(M'M)^{-2} + E_1(\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2}(M'M)^{-1} < E(\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2}(M'M)^{-1}S(M'M)^{-1}.$$

Insertion in (i) finally yields

$$E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1} S (M'M)^{-1} < nE (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-2} - 2(n-4)E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-2} -2E_1 (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-1} + E_1 (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1}.$$

Notes:

1. Nonsingularity of M'M is not trivial. A case of singularity is $M' = \mu l'$, where the *n* means are proportional.

- 2. Leung assumes n > 4. There is no need for it.
- 3. Taking traces in Lemma 2 yields Leung's Lemma 3.1.

4 A matrix version of Leung's domination result

We shall now prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3

$$EL[(M'M)^{-1}, T] > EL[(M'M)^{-1}, T_{\alpha}]$$

for $0 < \alpha \leq 4$ (n - 4), where

$$L[(M'M)^{-1}, R] := \{(M'M)^{-1}R - I_m\}' \{(M'M)^{-1}R - I_m\},\$$

$$T := S - nI_m$$
 and $T_\alpha := T + \alpha (tr S)^{-1} I_m$.

Proof.

$$L[(M'M)^{-1}, T] - L[(M'M)^{-1}, T_{\alpha}] = \{(M'M)^{-1} T - I_m\}' \{(M'M)^{-1} T - I_m\} - \{(M'M)^{-1} T_{\alpha} - I_m\}' \{(M'M)^{-1} T_{\alpha} - I_m\} = 2n\alpha (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-2} - \alpha^2 (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-2} - \alpha (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} S (M'M)^{-2} - \alpha (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-2} S + 2\alpha (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1}.$$

Its expected value is

$$2n\alpha E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-2} - \alpha^{2} E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-2} - \alpha E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} S (M'M)^{-2} - \alpha E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-2} S + 2\alpha E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1} > > 2\alpha E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1} S (M'M)^{-1} + + 4\alpha (n - 4)E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-2} - 2\alpha E_{1} (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1} + 4\alpha E_{1} (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-1} - - \alpha^{2} E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-2} - \alpha E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} S (M'M)^{-2} - \alpha E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-2} S + + 2\alpha E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1} = 2\alpha E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1} S (M'M)^{-1} + \alpha [4(n - 4) - \alpha] E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-2} + + 2\alpha \left\{ E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1} - E_{1} (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1} \right\} + + 4\alpha E_{1} (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-1} - \alpha E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} S (M'M)^{-2} - \alpha E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-2} S, by Lemma 2.$$

We approximate $E(\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} S$ by

$$\mu (n I_m + M'M) - 2\mu^2 (n I_m + 2M'M) + + 2\mu^3 (mn + 2 \operatorname{tr} M'M) (n I_m + M'M),$$

with $\mu^{-1} := \text{tr} (n I_m + M'M)$, the remainder being of order $o(n^{-1})$.

Insertion yields

$$2\alpha E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1} S (M'M)^{-1} - \alpha E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} S (M'M)^{-2} - \alpha E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-2} S = O + o(n^{-1}).$$

Hence to the order of approximation

$$EL[(M'M)^{-1}, T] - EL[(M'M)^{-1}, T_{\alpha}] > \alpha [4(n-4) - \alpha] E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-2} + 2\alpha \left[E (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1} - E_1 (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} (M'M)^{-1} \right] + 4\alpha E_1 (\operatorname{tr} S)^{-2} (M'M)^{-1} > O,$$

as $E(\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1} \ge E_1(\operatorname{tr} S)^{-1}$.

For the auxiliary inequality see Leung (1994, p. 112).

Appendix 1: a lemma on the matrix Haffian $\nabla \varphi F$

Lemma 4

$$\nabla \varphi F = \varphi \nabla F + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial X} F,$$

where φ is a scalar function of the symmetric matrix variable X and F is a matrix function thereof. Further

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial X} := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{ij}} \left(E_{ij} + E_{ji} \right), \quad \text{where } E_{ij} := e_i \, e'_j$$

~

Proof.

$$(\nabla\varphi F)_{ik} = \sum_{j} d_{ij} (\varphi F)_{jk} = \sum_{j} d_{ij} \varphi f_{jk} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j} \left(1 + \delta_{ij}\right) \frac{\partial\varphi f_{jk}}{\partial x_{ij}} =$$

$$= \frac{\partial\varphi f_{ik}}{\partial x_{ii}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\partial\varphi f_{jk}}{\partial x_{ij}} = \varphi \left(\frac{\partial f_{ik}}{\partial x_{ii}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\partial f_{jk}}{\partial x_{ij}}\right) + \left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_{ii}}\right) f_{ik} +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_{ij}} f_{jk} = \varphi (\nabla F)_{ik} + \left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial X}\right)_{i.} F_{.k}, \quad \text{hence}$$

$$\nabla\varphi F = \varphi \nabla F + \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x} F.$$

$$\nabla \varphi F = \varphi \nabla F + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial X} F.$$

Here f_{jk} and $(F)_{jk}$ are the jk^{th} element of F, $F_{i.}$ is the i^{th} row of F and $F_{.j}$ is the k^{th} column of *F*.

For more details see Neudecker (2000b).

Appendix 2: a lemma on the scalar Haffian tr $\nabla F_2 A F_1$

Lemma 5

$$tr \nabla F_2 A F_1 = tr \ (\nabla F_2) A F_1 + tr \ (\nabla F_1') A' F_2',$$

where F_2 and F_1 are functions of the symmetric matrix variable X and A is a constant matrix.

Each F satisfies
$$F = \sum_{k} \varphi_k C_k$$
 or $dF = \sum_{l} P_l(dX)Q'_l$ with constant C_k , P_l and Q_l .

We consider three cases. The first comprises $F_1 = \varphi C$ and $dF_2 = P(dX)Q'$, the second comprises $F_2 = \varphi C$ and $dF_1 = P(dX)Q'$, the third comprises $dF_1 = P(dX)Q'$ and $dF_2 = R(dX)T'$. The fourth case with $F_1 = \varphi_1C_1$ and $F_2 = \varphi_2C_2$ follows easily. Without loss of generality the summation signs were dropped.

Proof.

Case 1. We have $dF_1 = (d\varphi)C$, hence by Lemma 4 $\nabla F'_1 = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial X}C'$. Further

$$d(F_2AF_1) = (dF_2)AF_1 + F_2AdF_1$$
$$= P(dX)Q'AF_1 + (d\varphi)F_2AC$$

which implies

$$\nabla F_2 A F_1 = \frac{1}{2} P' Q' A F_1 + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} P) Q' A F_1 + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial X} F_2 A C,$$

$$\operatorname{tr} \nabla F_2 A F_1 = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} P' Q' A F_1 + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} P) \operatorname{tr} Q' A F_1 + \operatorname{tr} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial X} F_2 A C,$$

$$(\nabla F_2) A F_1 = \frac{1}{2} P' Q' A F_1 + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} P) Q' A F_1,$$

$$\operatorname{tr} (\nabla F_2) A F_1 = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} P' Q' A F_1 + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} P) \operatorname{tr} Q' A F_1;$$

$$(\nabla F_1) A' F_2' = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial X} C' A' F_2',$$

$$\operatorname{tr} (\nabla F_1') A' F_2' = \operatorname{tr} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial X} C' A' F_2' = \operatorname{tr} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial X} F_2 A C.$$

This yields the result.

Case 2. We replace F_1 by F'_2 , A by A' and F_2 by F'_1 in the first result. This leads to

$$\operatorname{tr} \nabla F_1' A' F_2' = \operatorname{tr} (\nabla F_1') A' F_2' + \operatorname{tr} (\nabla F_2) A F_1.$$

Using tr $\nabla F' = \text{tr } \nabla F$ we get

$$\operatorname{tr} \nabla F_2 A F_1 = \operatorname{tr} \left(\nabla F_1' \right) A' F_2' + \operatorname{tr} \left(\nabla F_2 \right) A F_1.$$

Case 3. Now $dF_1 = P(dX)Q'$ and $dF_2 = R(dX)T'$. Then

$$2\nabla F_1 = P'Q' + (\operatorname{tr} P)Q'$$
$$2\nabla F_2 = R'T' + (\operatorname{tr} R)T'$$
$$2\nabla F'_1 = Q'P' + (\operatorname{tr} Q)P'$$

by the Theorem in Neudecker (2000*b*). Further

$$dF_2AF_1 = (dF_2)AF_1 + F_2AdF_1,$$

= $R(dX)T'AF_1 + F_2AP(dX)Q',$

which implies

$$2\nabla F_2 A F_1 = R'T'AF_1 + P'A'F_2'Q' + + (tr R) T'AF_1 + (tr F_2 AP) Q' = 2 (\nabla F_2) AF_1 + P'A'F_2'Q' + (tr F_2 AP) Q'$$

and hence

$$2 \operatorname{tr} \nabla F_2 A F_1 = 2 \operatorname{tr} (\nabla F_2) A F_1 + \operatorname{tr} [Q'P' + (\operatorname{tr} Q)P'] A' F_2'$$

= 2 tr (\nabla F_2) A F_1 + 2 tr (\nabla F_1') A' F_2'.

г		
L		

Note: For an introduction to the scalar Haffian see Neudecker (2000a).

5 References

- Chow, M. S. (1987). A complete class theorem for estimating a noncentrality parameter. *Ann. Statist.*, 15, 800-4.
- Haff, L. R. (1981). Further identities for the Wishart distribution with applications in regression. *Canadian J. Statist.*, 215-24.
- Leung, P. L. (1994). An identity for the noncentral Wishart distribution with application. J. Multivariate Anal., 48, 107-14.
- Leung, P. L. & Muirhead, R. J. (1987). Estimation of parameter matrices and eigenvalues in MANOVA and canonical correlation analysis. *Ann. Statist.*, 15, 1651-66.

Neudecker, H. (2000a). A note on the scalar Haffian. Qüestiió, 24(2), 243-9.

Neudecker, H. (2000b). A note on the matrix Haffian. Qüestiió, 24(3), 419-24.

- Perlman, M. D. & Rasmussen, U. A. (1975). Some remarks on estimating a noncentrality parameter. *Comm. Statist.*, 4, 455-68.
- Saxena, K. M. L. & Alam, K. (1982). Estimation of the noncentrality parameter of a chi-squared distribution. *Ann. Statist.*, 10, 1012-6.